

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 SHAWN ROBERTSON,

No. 2:03-cv-1712-MCE-KJM-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

ORDER

14 ANTHONY NEWLAND, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed
18 this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
19 The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge
20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No.
21 262.

22 On March 2, 2006, the magistrate judge filed Findings and
23 Recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which
24 contained notice to all parties that any Objections to the
25 Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within fifteen (15)
26 days.

1 Plaintiff has filed Objections to the Findings and
2 Recommendations.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.
4 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this Court has conducted a
5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
6 entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to
7 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed March 2, 2006,
10 are adopted in full; and

11 2. The Motion for Summary Judgment is granted as to
12 Defendants Arthur, Greenough, Newland and Dickinson, but denied
13 as to Defendants Rougeaux, Ledesma and Moser.

14 DATED: June 1, 2006

15
16
17 

18 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26